



Apr 23rd, 9:00 AM - 4:00 PM

# Changes in Response Rate: Is the Standard Operant Conditioning Unit Really Standard?

Gregory P. Tinkler

*Illinois Wesleyan University*

Anthony R. Peterson

*Illinois Wesleyan University*

James D. Dougan, Faculty Advisor

*Illinois Wesleyan University*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc>

Tinkler, Gregory P.; Peterson, Anthony R.; and Dougan, Faculty Advisor, James D., "Changes in Response Rate: Is the Standard Operant Conditioning Unit Really Standard?" (1994). *John Wesley Powell Student Research Conference*. 57. <http://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc/1994/posters/57>

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by The Ames Library, the Andrew W. Mellon Center for Curricular and Faculty Development, the Office of the Provost and the Office of the President. It has been accepted for inclusion in Digital Commons @ IWU by the faculty at Illinois Wesleyan University. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@iwu.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@iwu.edu).

©Copyright is owned by the author of this document.

CHANGES IN RESPONSE RATE:  
IS THE STANDARD OPERANT CONDITIONING UNIT REALLY STANDARD?

Gregory P. Tinkler, Anthony R. Peterson, and  
Dr. James D. Dougan\*, Department of Psychology, IWU.

Skinner (1938) first described the "standard" operant conditioning unit, or Skinner box. However, there has been controversy regarding whether the unit is actually standard. The present study examined whether different types of "standard" Skinner boxes would produce different results. The subjects were six female Long Evans rats which were food deprived to 80% of their normal body weight. They were tested in two different operant chambers- one was a Gerbrands Corporation model G7410, and the other was a BRS/LVE Inc. model RTC-020. The subjects were randomly assigned to run on four different VI schedules (VI 7.5s, 15s, 30s, and 240s) in blocks of 12 days per schedule. Sessions lasted for ten minutes. The results themselves were largely inconclusive: no significant differences were achieved across the Skinner boxes, but there were inconsistencies in individual performances. Further studies using longer sessions are currently in progress.