



Apr 14th, 9:00 AM - 10:00 AM

# Monte Carlo Simulations of Electron Scattering Experiments

Alan Russian

*Illinois Wesleyan University*

Bruno deHarak, Faculty Advisor

*Illinois Wesleyan University*

Mark Liffiton, Faculty Advisor

*Illinois Wesleyan University*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc>

 Part of the [Computer Sciences Commons](#)

Russian, Alan; deHarak, Faculty Advisor, Bruno; and Liffiton, Faculty Advisor, Mark, "Monte Carlo Simulations of Electron Scattering Experiments" (2012). *John Wesley Powell Student Research Conference*. 16.  
<http://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc/2012/posters/16>

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by The Ames Library, the Andrew W. Mellon Center for Curricular and Faculty Development, the Office of the Provost and the Office of the President. It has been accepted for inclusion in Digital Commons @ IWU by the faculty at Illinois Wesleyan University. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@iwu.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@iwu.edu).

©Copyright is owned by the author of this document.

# Monte Carlo Simulations of Electron Scattering Experiments

Alan Russian, Dr. deHarak, and Dr. Liffiton

*Computer Science and Physics, Illinois Wesleyan University*

## Research Question

- Is it necessary to account for the following details when modeling electron scattering experiments?
  - True Interaction Volume When Using a Moveable Gas Mount
  - Gaussian Laser Distribution
  - Cosine-Squared Gas Distribution
- Experiments typically have a 10% error. Are these approximation errors greater than 10%?

## Methodology

- Used a Monte Carlo Simulation: Performed operations on random points that represented electrons
- Written in Python
  - Used SciPy for Graphing
  - Used PyQt for GUI
  - Over 600 Lines of Code

## Program Screenshots




Figure 1. Parameter Window and Energy Spectrum




Figure 2. Approximation error of Steinmetz solid volume using a line/cylinder approximation

## Results and Data Analysis

- Percent error of two cylinder interaction volumes when using line/cylinder approximation is displayed in *Figure 2*.
- Adding a Gaussian distribution to the laser alone does not make a difference of over 10%.
- Adding a cosine-squared distribution to the gas jet as well as the Gaussian distribution laser makes over a 10% difference when looking at 2 photons and above.

## Conclusion

- A line/cylinder approximation for intersection volume cannot be used when the ratio of cylinders is approximately 1:1.
- One needs to take into account the cosine-squared gas distribution and the Gaussian distribution laser when looking at the absorption of two or more photons.