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**Cabaret: A Reflection on the Design and Production Process**  
Jennifer J. Owen, Degree Honors Project, 4/19/01

I. Introduction

As my senior degree project I have chosen to present a scenic design for *Cabaret*. This design was fully realized at Illinois Wesleyan University on the stage of McPherson Theatre in March of 2001. I received the opportunity to pursue this design by audition in the School of Theatre and it is the culmination of my education in scene design. In this paper I will present a reflective analysis of all aspects of the design, including the effectiveness of both process and product.

II. The Design Process

The textbook definition of initial design work breaks down the process into seven basic steps: commitment, analysis, research, incubation, selection, implementation, and reflection. Though it is obvious that a realized design is not as simple as this breakdown, the definition provides a useful starting point for conversation about design. It is important to note that though this model makes the design process appear linear in nature, each of the steps in the process occurs throughout many different phases of the design. I will refer this definition of the design process throughout my discussion of *Cabaret*.

The design process for *Cabaret* began early in the school year. Initial design meetings in November and December brought together the design team for the first time, though personal commitment and analysis began even earlier. Because theatre is a collaborative art, commitment in the design process takes on two forms: individual commitment and commitment as a team to the overall vision of the play. The coming together of the design team marks the beginning of collaboration and group commitment. In these first meetings the director revealed his impressions and ideas about *Cabaret*, which led to the development of the design concept. Thematically, as the director explained, the play is about the loss of innocence of the German people during the Nazi party’s rise to power. This idea of the loss of innocence should reveal
itself not only through the character work of the actors but also be revealed in the design of the show: we should “see the decay happen” as the cabaret environment goes from inviting to grotesque. Additionally, the director desired a sense of theatricality in the design: it should be apparent that the Cabaret is a place where a show happens. In a mechanical sense, the design had to facilitate smooth, quick transitions between the multiple locales.

As a developmental tool and starting point for research the director pointed us towards Expressionist artwork of the period, namely work by Grosz and Sheile, among others, and also toward documentary films illustrating the Nazis’ rise to power. The Expressionist self-portrait of grotesque distortion manifests the loss of identity and sense of self-loathing of the German people during this time between WWI and WWII. This contrasted with the Nazi philosophy of celebrating “the beautiful body” and the two ideas together provided a strong historical background for the concept of Cabaret.

After this initial meeting it was time for me as a designer to evaluate the information I had obtained, assess the requirements of the script, and begin my own research and development. I became aware of the many challenges I faced as a designer, including the large number of locales, the need for smooth transitions, and the desire to manifest decay through the physical reality of the set. I began research, on both a conceptual and a factual level, hoping to gain a fuller understanding of the director’s view of the play and also to begin informing the design. Through paintings, photography, advertisements, and other artwork, both period and contemporary, I sought to further the ideas brought forth in the first design meeting. Through this exploration of research I began to see the loss of innocence as the idea of children playing adult games, not realizing they are in over their heads until it is too late (fig.1.2,1.11). I found several images of Berlin nightclubs (fig. 1.5,1.10,1.12, 1.13) photographs of strange gymnastic sports that were a part of the celebration of the beautiful body (fig. 1.7,1.9), examples of period architecture and decor (fig.1.8, 1.16,1.18, 1.19, 1.20), and much other informative information.
The most challenging, but also the most exciting, area of research and development was the pursuit of a way to show decay. As I searched through countless sources I found many images to be striking and informative. Distortion was very apparent in the Expressionist artwork with its grotesque figures and use of angular lines (fig. 1.4, 1.12, 1.14, 1.15). Another important idea was that of frames within frames as a way to establish a theatrical distance and focus the stage picture. The most significant image I discovered, however, is the image of fire.

Throughout my research I discovered countless images of fire, be it photographs of Nazis burning books or political posters and cartoons of the Reichstag in flames (1.23, 1.24, 1.28). Georg Grosz’s painting “Metropolis” was a central image to the design, depicting an angular, distorted city colored in vivid red and orange, very suggestive of fire (fig 1.26). I felt that the fire image was a strong way to represent decay. This first round of research was quite successful and I returned to the next design meeting able to present some interesting and challenging ideas that later became integral parts of the design. As a student involved with a faculty design team, I also feel that this early research and presentation was an extremely important demonstration of my commitment to the project and of my ability to participate actively in the design process.

Though additional, more specific research continued throughout the process the majority happened within the first few weeks of the design process. The next step after research was beginning to translate the conceptual ideas into a concrete set. Based on the style I had seen in much of the director’s previous work, the desire for theatricality, and the need for smooth transitions I felt that a simple and somewhat stylized approach to the design would be most appropriate. As a convention to facilitate the above goals it was decided this production would suggest that the more realistic “book” scenes of the play (namely the apartment, the foyer, the train, and fruit shop) were scenes of a play being performed in the Kit Kat Klub. Because the scenes were now intended as scenes, not “reality” the set pieces served more to indicate locale, rather than create a complete environment. At this point in the process I began to create rough sketches to illustrate my vision of the set and communicate these ideas to the director.
My initial attempts to realize these design goals were not very successful. Many factors affected this part of the design process. I recognize that sketching is one of my weakest abilities as a designer; while my ability to conceptualize had expanded rapidly through the first stages of this design process my drawing skills had not caught up and I had a difficult time finding ways to put my ideas onto paper. This design for Cabaret was my first realized design in McPherson Theatre and of a much larger magnitude than any previous design experience; other designs had been in much smaller, black box spaces such as the Phoenix and Laboratory theatres. In these experiences, as well as in class projects, I had worked only with unit set design. This type of design consists of a single set where all of the different playing areas are always visible, relying heavily on lighting to shift focus. Most shows at Illinois Wesleyan are designed in this way because it is often the most appropriate use of the space. In this particular situation, however, a unit set, especially the type of unit set I was visualizing, was not appropriate because it placed far too many limitations on the stage space. Because of the large number of locales a unit set design divided the stage space into several very small areas that were not really useable playing space. Also, a unit set was overly cumbersome and created much too “busy” of a stage picture. In order to accommodate all the needs of the show (various locales, space needed for full cast dance numbers, etc.) I would have to move beyond the unit set. In my early sketches I found it difficult to escape this mode of design because other available options were simply outside my scope of awareness. This point in the process was extremely frustrating for me and I expect it was for the rest of the design team as well.

Through conversations with my advisor about different styles of design, particularly those appropriate to a musical, and about the capacity of McPherson as a space with a fully operational fly house I realized that I needed to approach this design in a totally different way than I was accustomed to. A proscenium style design, which is actually a much more traditional form of design, suited this production the best and I set about developing the design in that way. This required a major shift in thinking: I had been trained to think about a set as positive space,
creating a set from the inside out, and now I had to think in terms of negative space, creating the set by framing it in. At this point the design began to come full circle and I realized my initial thoughts about frames within frames and a simple, stylized design were not that far off. Until this point I had simply lacked the understanding of how to accomplish such a design.

Now that the design was on the right track I was able to begin solidifying, along with the director, what each element of the design should be. I produced additional rough sketches during this part of the process (fig. 2.1-2.5). My goal was to create a basic framework of a design within which the director could have a great deal of freedom. Through careful selection of essential elements the design should clearly suggest each locale without being cumbersome. As the rehearsal and production process proceeded the pieces of the design could be "choreographed": scenes and transitions were mapped out to create the final stage design.

With the proscenium style design I was also able to explore the use of softgoods to define space, assist transitions, and frame action. Additionally, the director desired a specific sense of movement within the transitions that was best achieved using curtains of different materials and styles. Much of the design revolved around the most important moment in the show, the final moment (fig. 7.16,7.17). The director's vision of this moment involved all the set pieces disappearing to reveal a huge Nazi flag and behind that a row of concentration camp prisoners. Much consideration was given to this moment in creating the design as a whole. I will discuss specific elements of the design later in my paper.

One problematic issue stood out during this part of the design process: the stage orchestra. Initially both the director and musical director expressed a desire for a separate stage orchestra (in addition to the pit orchestra). Because the orchestra would be a part of the stage picture, two questions arose: who is this orchestra and where do we put them so they don't interfere with the playable stage space. Many of the orchestra members were older adults, not experienced actors, so it would be somewhat demanding to ask them to function as an onstage part of the production. The disparity between the "look" of the older orchestra members and the
young cast would affect the overall concept of the production. It also became apparent that a permanent stage band would be too cumbersome to facilitate the smooth transitions needed in the show and at one point the stage band was cut completely. Later the stage orchestra was re-integrated at a few key moments and was composed of a few cast members who had previous musical experience. This journey was an interesting example of the collaborative process between director, musical director, and design team.

Once the selection process was complete I began creating the necessary technical drawings to facilitate the implementation of the design (fig. 5.1-5.5). This process was challenging and pushed me to refine my drafting skills. I also created a scenic model, which served not only as a reference for paint and construction purposes but as a way to communicate with the director and cast about the stage space they would work in (fig 4.1-4.5). Throughout this period in the design I was able to develop and maintain a good working relationship with my advisor and technical director and meet all appropriate deadlines.

I believe the design process for *Cabaret* was a satisfactory process. It was not without challenges but I believe that, with the support of the production team and my advisor, I was able to address adequately the challenges I faced. My ability to present myself and communicate my ideas has definitely improved and by the end of the design process I felt I was much more able to solve problems effectively and in the moment.

### III. The Production Process

Once the design had been established it moved into the production process. At this point the design drawings are turned over to the technical director to be broken down into technical drawings for construction purposes. Through her careful planning the construction of the show was able to be completed in as timely a manner as possible. As the designer in the shop I was responsible for the construction of softgoods and supervision of painting and properties. My major projects were the construction of the full stage Venetian curtain and the painting of the
metropolis drop. Each of these projects greatly expanded my skills in sewing and painting, respectively, and made a bold statement as a part of the design.

In addition to the work I did myself I was also responsible for supervising the props master, scenic charge artist, and assistant scenic artist. The magnitude of work on this production was very different from my past design experiences. As I mentioned earlier my other designs have been in smaller spaces where construction was primarily my own responsibility. Because of the nature of my personality I sometimes have a very difficult time trusting others to perform tasks; I set very high standards for myself and am concerned about placing those expectations on others. During this process, however, the amount of work was such that I absolutely had to trust my support staff in order to get it done. Though there were definitely moments of frustration, all three performed well and were of tremendous help to me.

Communication was of vital importance in the production process. The technical director and I talked on a daily basis about the progress of the production. Just as important was communication with the other designers on the production. In my design I was able to make very bold color choices which made design choices much more difficult for the other designers. Because of this I made every effort to get swatches and paint elevations to the designers as early as possible and to maintain constant contact. In the event of a problem I worked to resolve it in an efficient manner. Misunderstandings are inevitable, especially in an educational process, but I strove to be as clear and professional as possible.

Technical rehearsals are a crucial time in the production process, and also a very trying one. The integration of multiple elements into the production is never without difficulty and Cabaret was no exception. The most major problem on this show was the integration of the doors for the apartment and foyer scenes. Because of the fragile nature of a doorframe and the lack of surface to secure the doors to the originally proposed design solution, flying the door in and out, turned out to be impossible. After days of attempting to adjust the tension, etc., the movement of the doors was switched to manual movement by the crew. Even this solution
required additional changes up until the last days before opening. Some mistakes were simply human error, such as the swastika that I painted backwards (but promptly fixed). Another unanticipated problem was the Venetian curtain. The force needed to operate the rigging caused the batten to pull stage left. Additional experimentation was required to find a solution to this problem as well. This particular incident was somewhat tense due to a miscommunication between the director and myself about appropriate solutions to the problem. Though the conflict was certainly not comfortable I strove to address the issue in a calm and mature way and resolved the problem by the next rehearsal. This interaction was important because it forced me to deal with a very real situation that classroom training could not have prepared me for.

IV. The Final Product

The culmination of the design and production process, of course, is the staged design. The goal is to create a set that takes into account all the ideas developed in the design concept while maintaining a healthy production process. I will now discuss each of the elements of my scenic design for Cabaret and how they relate to the design concept.

Within the design there are two “worlds”: the Kit Kat Klub (including backstage)(fig. 7.1-7.9) and the world of the play within the play (fig 7.10-7.15). As a designer I wanted to make these two worlds separate but unified. There were many contrasts between the club and the “play” world. The world of the club was very slick and metallic. The stage space was framed with a spiral stair case on one side and a steel platform on the other: either way the actors entered the space from above, giving the impression of descending down into the nightclub. The metal platform with its ladder and fire-pole is also reminiscent of the “beautiful body” images of my earlier research. Both the platform and the staircase provided an aloof space for the omni-present Emcee to observe the action of the play (fig. 7.2,7.3). The shimmer curtain, metallic frames, and metallic furniture border between glitzy and gaudy as the nightclub and German society go downhill (fig. 7.4,7.8,7.9). The “play” scenes use more natural materials and have a softer texture overall, though the minimalist selection of set pieces is still stylized and sleek (fig. 7.10, 7.11,
The two worlds are separated by the Venetian curtain, which opens to form a false proscenium for the "play" (fig. 7.5, 7.12, 7.15). Small touches unify the set as a whole, however. The wood doors have a slight silver highlight, the train bench and luggage rack are silver, and the floor is a break-up of warm and cool colors throughout.

The idea of frames within frames is apparent with the two light frames in the Kit Kat Klub and with the Venetian curtain (7.4, 7.5). As previously mentioned, the Venetian acted sometimes as a false proscenium. At other specific moments the frames worked either alone or concentrically to pull in focus. The angular lines of the frames added to the distortion effect as well (fig. 5.3).

As I discussed earlier many factors helped develop the sense of theatricality. The concept of the "play within the play" put the audience into a theatrical mindset; they were, in essence, an audience watching a play in a cabaret. The use of select minimal set pieces furthered the effect of theatricality. The third major factor in this pursuit was the addition of café tables in the first row of the audience (fig. 7.6, 7.7). Though two of the seats were reserved for actors the rest were filled with audience members. Actors dressed as waiters served them drinks and interacted with them frequently. Audience members seemed to enjoy the experience and it added to the idea that the audience at Cabaret was the audience in the Kit Kat Klub.

The final major set piece I would like to discuss is the metropolis drop (fig. 6.1-6.5). I feel that this piece is the most significant and innovative piece of the scenic design. The concept of the metropolis drop was drawn from several sources, including a painting by Georg Grosz (fig. 1.26), Expressionist photomontage (fig. 1.22, 1.25), a photo of an actual cabaret show (fig. 1.21), and the fire imagery I spoke of earlier in this paper (fig. 1.24, 1.27, 1.28). The drop served as a representation of the decay of German society. In the first parts of the show the vibrant red and orange colors of the drop were dulled down with lighting; as the show progressed the lights slowly began to bring out the painted colors of the drop until in the final scenes it was glowing in fiery tones (fig. 6.4, 6.5). The image for the drop itself was taken from a photomontage by Paul.
Citroen (fig. 1.22). For me this particular design element is an ideal example of the blending of concept, research, design, and craft to create a remarkable product.

V. Conclusion

In conclusion, I feel my scenic design for Cabaret was successful. I was able to actively participate in the design process with faculty designers, directors, and staff whom I respect greatly. Throughout the process I feel I behaved professionally and was able to react and solve problems in the moment. I maintained a good relationship with my advisor and usually knew when to ask for help, which is not always easy. I was disciplined in my work, set realistic goals (for the most part) and achieved them. The final product functioned well, looked good, and was true to the drawings and scenic model. I have achieved a much greater understanding of what design is, gained focus in my work and, perhaps most important of all, I truly enjoyed this design experience.
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