Alcohol Advertising: Freedom of Speech v. Social Responsibility

Reona Jack  
Illinois Wesleyan University

Jack Fields, Faculty Advisor  
Illinois Wesleyan University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc

https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc/1991/posters/29

This is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by Digital Commons @ IWU with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this material in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/ or on the work itself. This material has been accepted for inclusion by faculty at Illinois Wesleyan University. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@iwu.edu.  
©Copyright is owned by the author of this document.
ALCOHOL ADVERTISING:
FREEDOM OF SPEECH v. SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

Reona Jack, Dept. of Business Admin., IWU, Jack Fields*

This informational study presents the controversy surrounding alcohol advertising. In light of the problem of alcoholism in this country and increasing social pressure for strict regulation, this research examines the advertiser's rights. By looking at alcohol as a convenience product in a mature market, one can see the importance of brand advertising in this particular market. Much of the reason why no regulation on alcohol advertising has been imposed can be attributed to the fact that no conclusive evidence has proven that alcohol advertising actually induces young people to begin drinking. This study includes interviews with adolescent alcoholics who share their views about the imagery associated with these advertisements and the effect it had on their decision to drink. Also, in terms of the First Amendment rights of commercial speech, this study includes case law that traces the relevant Supreme Court decisions, beginning with the denial of any First Amendment protection for commercial speech and ending with what some refer to as the "pro-business" guidelines that are in effect today.