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A Hindu Interpretation of
A Passage to India

Vinod Cardoza
In E. M. Forster’s *A Passage to India*, the echoes that Mrs. Moore and Adela Quested hear in the Marabar caves reverberate throughout the novel. These echoes induce a chain reaction of events on both the micro and the macro levels. Exactly what happens (or does not happen) in the caves has been inconclusively debated over the years, but the description of the cave episode suggests to me that profound Hindu philosophy may lie at the core of events. It is certainly possible that Mrs. Moore and Adela experience Citt (the ultimate Hindu Consciousness) in the Marabar. Under these circumstances, then, it is not implausible to interpret the cave episode in the context of Hindu philosophy and symbolism.

**Hindu Philosophy and Symbolism:**

Citt transcends the deities of popular Hinduism; it is composed of their collective essence. It is a metaphysical rather than a mythological concept. Citt is analogous with Brahman, the Hindu Absolute. The philosophical Brahman is also not easy to comprehend—it simultaneously embodies everything, and nothing (Zimmer 123), it is “not good or evil, but good and evil” (emphasis added) (Zimmer 188). In Hinduism, the temple is a place where the devotee is most likely to ascend to a higher state of citta (consciousness) in his or her quest for unity with Brahman.

The sanctuary, the holiest part of a Hindu temple, resembles a cave structurally. Like the cave, it is small, dark, and possesses smooth, unadorned walls (Michell 69-70). In fact, a cave is virtually analogous to a temple. Caves served as the functional predecessors of temples, and caves were among the earliest sites of veneration in Hinduism. Divinity is understood to be occasionally manifested in caves. If we accept this, the cave episode represents the Englishwomen’s first and only visit to a description of Mrs. Moore’s experience this view—she experiences the crowds, motion associated with a temple, and a “Boum” or “ou-boum,” the son that disorients Mrs. Moore, is comparable phonetically similar Hindu mantra “Oa” (pronounced AUM) is a device used by atman, the inner self, with Brahman. Acentrate the presence of Brahman. Ancient Upanishad (ancient Hindu religious texts) quarters: “A” includes the waking state dreams, and “M” includes dreamless sl silence, comprises the state when dualit Brahman is experienced as atman. They facilitate the presence of Brahman, thus.

**Mrs. Moore’s Experience:**

The two Englishwomen have well result, they not only experience different Brahman, but they react to it very differently says that the women’s reactions are temper, and situation” (Shahane 7). This response and subsequent transformation

The elderly, religious widow, Mrs. nine-theenth-century Europe. Prior to her a humanist whose sole ambition is “to (Forster 187). Naturally, the universe reflects her fundamentally Christian belief over evil.
In Forster's *A Passage to India*, the echoes that Mrs. Moore heard in the Marabar caves reverberate between micro and macro levels. These echoes induce a chain reaction surrounding Hindu philosophy may lie at the core of possible Mrs. Moore and Adela experiences, then, it is not implausible to interpret them in the context of Hindu philosophy and symbolism.

**Hindu Symbolism:**

The deities of popular Hinduism; it is considered to be the essence. It is a metaphysical rather than a physical. *Cit* is analogous with Brahman, the Hindu philosophical Brahman is also not easy to comprehend: everything, and nothing is not good or evil, but good and evil (emphasis added). In Hinduism, the temple is a place most likely to ascend to a higher state of citta or her quest for unity with Brahman. The holiest part of a Hindu temple, resembles the cave, it is small, dark, and possesses small (Michell 69-70). In fact, a cave is virtual. Caves served as the functional predecessors were among the earliest sites of veneration. If Brahma is understood to be occasionally manifest, the cave episode represents the

Mrs. Moore's Experience:

The two Englishwomen have very little in common. As a result, they not only experience different manifestations of Brahman, but they react to it very differently. Gertrude M. White says that the women's reactions are tempered by their "character, age, and situation" (Shahane 7). This is crucial in appreciating their response and subsequent transformation (or lack of it).

The elderly, religious widow, Mrs. Moore, is a by-product of nineteenth-century Europe. Prior to her visit to the Marabar, she is a humanist whose sole ambition is "to be one with the universe" (Forster 187). Naturally, the universe she envisions is one that reflects her fundamentally Christian belief in the supremacy of good over evil.
Mrs. Moore's experience in the cave can certainly be read as the experience of unity with Brahman. During the encounter, she becomes fully aware of her atman. She transcends from the maya (illusion) of her individual consciousness to merge with Cit (Zimmer 210), inheriting the entirety of its ageless, comprehensive wisdom. But she also discovers something that totally overwhelms her. Conditioned by age and molded by Christianity, her sensibilities cannot comprehend the annihilation of all difference between good and evil, humanity and inhumanity. Her value system crumbles, leaving her disoriented and confused.

Mrs. Moore’s notion of divinity as beautiful and sublime is destroyed by her newly discovered knowledge that in the final reckoning, bad equals good, inhumanity equals humanity. Her humanism amounts to nothing since the sum of good and evil is immutable; she experiences a humanist’s ultimate nightmare (Forster xxiv). Little wonder that Mrs. Moore, the epitome of kindness, undergoes an attitudinal metamorphosis. Hugh Maclean attributes this to her “soul [which] grasps ‘reality,’ but is still shackled to physical personality” (Shahane 21). Her irritability and dejection are symptoms of the struggle between her enlightened spirit and the withered body it has outgrown. Mrs. Moore’s consequential decline and demise during her journey back to England results from the dichotomy between her atman and her western physical reality. The latter necessitates her continuance in the role of “Mrs. Moore,” a person she no longer identifies with.

Mrs. Moore, in fact, is capable of remarkable insight into an event that she has not even witnessed: Adela’s alleged rape by Aziz. She is positive of his innocence, which is not surprising given their instinctive friendship. What is astounding is that she believes that even “if it [happened], there are worse evils than love” (Forster 188). She who has traveled all the way to Ronny’s marriage, finds little difference [or] love in a cave” (Forster 182). Acknowledging her “inverted” spirituality, Mrs. Moore, woman, bad, bad, detestable” (Forster 175), her acceptance of Hindu non-duality as Europe’s—“either-or” reality. “There are only good and evil, she says, “and I prefer mine to yours” (Forster xxiv).

While Mrs. Moore insists on retaining her humanist’s ultimate nightmare of unity with India. In death, she travels to India through the Indian Ocean. Her burial is similar to that of the Hindus who, when they reach the holy Ganges at Benares (Forster 24), which suggests a premonition of her own spiritual connection with India.

In retrospect, Mrs. Moore possesses a suspensibility of disbelief (or belief).

It is ironic that on attaining maat, she seems to be inclining to resignation” (Forster 187), which suggests a fatalism. Her advanced years and her sensibilities might also be significant. All of these factors reflect her suspension of disbelief (or belief).

It is ironic that on attaining maat, she seems to be inclining to resignation” (Forster 187), which suggests a fatalism. Her advanced years and her sensibilities might also be significant. All of these factors reflect her suspension of disbelief (or belief).
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188). She who has traveled all the way from England to arrange

Ronny’s marriage, finds little difference between “love in a church,
[or] love in a cave” (Forster 182). Acknowledging the magnitude of
her “inverted” spirituality, Mrs. Moore labels herself “a bad old
woman, bad, bad, detestable” (Forster 185). This admission reveals
her acceptance of Hindu non-duality as opposed to Ronny’s—and
Europe’s—“either-or” reality. “There are different ways of evil,”
she says, “and I prefer mine to yours” (Forster 185).

While Mrs. Moore insists on returning to England, she is

spiritually united with India. In death, she is forever linked with

India through the Indian Ocean. Her “ghost” symbolically aban-
dons the ship at the entrance to the Mediterranean, which stands
for Christian Europe and all that she has rejected. Mrs. Moore’s
burial is similar to that of the Hindus whose bodies are immersed in
the holy Ganges at Benares (Forster 24). She gets “gentle creeps,”
which suggests a premonition of her own end as well as a preexist-
ing spiritual connection with India.

In retrospect, Mrs. Moore possesses qualities which might
explain her transformation. According to the text, she “had always
inclined to resignation” (Forster 187), which may be interpreted as
fatalism. Her advanced years and her search for “oneness” might
also be significant. All of these factors might explain her willing
suspension of disbelief (or belief).

It is ironic that on attaining *moksha* (liberation), this

obscure, insignificant Englishwoman mutates into “Emiss
Esmoor . . . Hindu goddess” (Forster 203), a demigod who “con-
tribute[s] to the great gods, and they to the philosophic Brahm”
(Forster 232). This is symbolic of her presence throughout the
remainder of the novel, although she is physically absent.
Adela Quested’s Experience:

From the very first time they are mentioned in the novel, to the very last, the “fists and fingers of the Marabar” are enduring symbols of the corporeal. At the “bridge” party, the Marabar “creeps” towards Chandrapore, and towards Adela, as if attempting to establish contact with her. Adela actually finds the Marabar romantic (Forster 111), which, ironically, is a stronger emotion than Ronny generates in her.

The Marabar caves are described in sexually explicit vocabulary—they might well be part of the female reproductive system. The image of the rough-sided tunnel that leads to the circular chamber is evocative. Forster adds, “An entrance was necessary, so mankind made one,” suggesting the end of virginity. The mounds at the base of the Marabar are alluded to as “breasts of goddess Parvati” (Forster 125). The caves themselves can be seen to represent the yoni (womb) of Parvati, while the “fists and fingers” could represent Shiva’s linga (phallus). The cave is the structural ancestor of the garbhagriha (“womb-chamber” or sanctuary) of a temple, just as the temple’s shikhara (spire) is symbolically evocative of the hills. The Marabar hills may be associated with the axis mundi—“the main pin of the universe” (Zimmer 52)—link between the worldly and the divine.

In this context, Adela’s encounter occurs in the “love temple” of the Marabar, since the Marabar embodies the sexual tension between Shiva-Parvati (Shiva-Shakti), the male and female aspects. This duality of the sexes, it should be noted, is among the oldest and most common ways of representing Brahman (Zimmer 137).

Adela is predisposed towards rationalism and honesty. This emotionally (and perhaps sexually) frigid young woman enters the Marabar cave believing that love is not essential for a successful marriage with Ronny. The fact that she sides of his character” (Forster 68) but demonstrates her commitment to int not acknowledge her sexuality. The then, is antithetical to Adela’s maya.

According to Maclean, the the when she enters the cave are “love, motion (Shahane 27). Until now, she has not ty relevant to marriage. If the cave re innocuous entrance may be viewed as essentially follows the path of Surya (“intercourse” with Bhumi (the Earth).
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When confronted with this te al defenses crumble. Her “frantically (Shahane 27) are overwhelmed by th which she had condemned herself, ju “succumbs to hysteria” and either be attacked, or easily agrees with Miss I later. She flees from the cave, stubb herself on cactus thorns. The physic sion.
experience:

For the first time they are mentioned in the novel, to
ears and fingers of the Marabar” are enduring
real. At the “bridge” party, the Marabar
andrapore, and towards Adela, as if attempting
with her. Adela actually finds the Marabar
(1), which, ironically, is a stronger emotion
in her.

caves are described in sexually explicit vocabu­
be part of the female reproductive system.
high-sided tunnel that leads to the circular
Forster adds, “An entrance was necessary, so
suggesting the end of virginity. The mounds
Marabar are alluded to as “breasts of goddess
). The caves themselves can be seen to repres­
of Parvati, while the “fists and fingers” could
(stallus). The cave is the structural ancestor
womb-chamber” or sanctuary) of a temple,
(ikbara (spire) is symbolically evocative of the
wells may be associated with the axis mundi­
universe” (Zimmer 52)—link between the

cept, Adela’s encounter occurs in the “love tem­
since the Marabar embodies the sexual tension
Shiva-Shakti), the male and female aspects.
, it should be noted, is among the oldest
ways of representing Brahman (Zimmer 137).
aposed towards rationalism and honesty. This
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According to Maclean, the three things on Adela’s mind
when she enters the cave are “love, marriage, and sensuality”
(Shahane 27). Until now, she has not considered love and sensuali­
relevant to marriage. If the cave represents a yoni, Adela’s
innocuous entrance may be viewed as sexual penetration—she
essentially follows the path of Surya (the Sun god) in his daily
“intercourse” with Bhumi (the Earth goddess).

Like Mrs. Moore, she is exposed to the ultimate non-duality
in the cave. Unlike her, however, Adela is exposed to the symbiotic
Shiva-Shakti aspect of Brahman. Shiva is shava (a corpse) without
Shakti (Zimmer 206). In this manifestation, Brahman serves as a
metaphor for Adela’s relationship (or lack of it) with Ronny. Shakti
is necessary to “legitimise” Shiva—to make him complete—just as
romantic love is a social precondition for “desirable” physical union.
Sexual intercourse devoid of love, even within marriage, is little
more than rape.

When confronted with this terrible reality, Adela’s intellec­
tual defenses crumble. Her “frantically groping senses and reason”
(Shahane 27) are overwhelmed by the horror of the situation to
which she had condemned herself, just a short while before. She
“succumbs to hysteria” and either believes outright that she was
attacked, or easily agrees with Miss Derek who suggests this to her
later. She flees from the cave, stumbles down the hill, and impales
herself on cactus thorns. The physical pain exacerbates her delu­sion.
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Adela's youth and resilience prevent her from succumbing to a fundamental revision of values, unlike Mrs. Moore. Maya—a mental barrier—obscures the experience. Ironically, her encounter with Brahman, though traumatic, saves her from the misery of a loveless marriage. And in the courtroom, the punka wallah (court-fanner), that “beautiful naked god” (Forster 209) who may represent Shiva, helps Adela put the Marabar incident in proper perspective. The human manifestation of divinity as “Untouchable,” the punka wallah’s caste, is quite common in Hindu mythology, again illustrating the duality of Brahman. Leaving the trial in Fielding’s carriage, Adela is mistaken for Mrs. Moore by the Indians, but is “half god” (Forster 211) at the very best.

Conclusion:

To qualify this reading of the novel, it must be admitted that Forster did not intend the Marabar episode to be explicit, saying “In the cave, is either a man, the supernatural, or an illusion. And even if I know!” (Forster xxii, emphasis added). As James McConkey points out, it is easy to overemphasize Hinduism in the novel (Shahane 87) due to the blatant imagery. However, we are aware that Forster himself mainly knew the superficial “idols whose flesh was scarlet or blue” (Forster 38) which he successfully exploited to spice the narrative. Yet, despite this, the “Brahman hypothesis” is valid by Forster’s own admission.

When read in these terms, however, Mrs. Moore’s and Adela’s experience in the Marabar provides the perfect metaphor for the British presence in India. Adela’s reaction to divinity of “the Other” is typical of the British reaction to Indian culture: overly rational and closed-minded, while Mrs. Moore’s death represents the fate of those that dare—or are foolish enough—to let down their defenses in this “alien” land. Mrs. Moore is the exception that proves the rule. The British effectively because they cannot identify with it. Consequently, this reading supports interpreting Forster's novel that see it as a critique of the Raj: the obtain retaining India—"Jewel in the Crown"—
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Cardoza ‘96: A Hindu Interpretation of A Passage to India their defenses in this “alien” land. Mrs. Moore, apparently, is the exception that proves the rule. The British cannot govern India effectively because they cannot identify with the Indian psyche. Consequently, this reading supports interpretations of the novel that see it as a critique of the Raj: the odds are against Britain retaining India—"Jewel in the Crown"—and Empire, indefinitely.
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