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For a man who did not hold lithography in very high regard as an art form in his early career, Honore Daumier became a prolific artist in this genre, producing thousands of drawings throughout his life. While working at La Charivari, a French political magazine, Daumier completed 1600 lithographs in approximately ten years. Due to Daumier’s numerous achievements in his field, I will concentrate only on those lithography series done for La Charivari between the passing of the September censorship laws of 1835 and the subsequent round of French Revolution that occurred in 1848. The September Laws were the French government’s way of hushing the politically dissatisfied press during a socially turbulent era in France’s history. However, these stern restrictions did not quiet the press or the reactionaries; it made them hone their talents as they worked around the political repression. Instead of directly criticizing the King or a specific politician, the censorship laws forced the artists and writers to think of increasingly more creative...
methods of attack. This period of time also marks a stage in Daumier’s career where he was fully devoted to his lithography. Later in his life, he concentrated most of his effort on painting and spent his nights bearing his monthly lithography burden to La Charivari.

Born in 1808 to an aspiring writer and his wife, Honore-Victorin Daumier grew up in various locations throughout France, as his father desperately tried to make a living through his literature. Although art teachers schooled him for short periods of time, Daumier did not have intensive formal training. Alexandre Lenoir was the most important among those who had a hand in Daumier’s education.² Lenoir’s love of Michaelangelo transferred directly into Daumier’s illustrious drawing style. Lenoir was also passionate about the Louvre’s collection of classical sculpture and forced an unenthusiastic Daumier to copy many a Roman nose.³ Perhaps this seemingly trivial work further motivated Daumier’s biting recreations of classicism later in his career. Most accounts of Daumier’s life reveal drawing as his first interest in art. Supposedly, the artist himself stated at an early age, “I want to draw.” However, Howard P. Vincent, author of Daumier and His World, claims that Daumier, like his father, had a life-long dream to be known for something other than what he was. His father wanted to be a writer, but worked as an illustrator. In lithography, Daumier found a medium between his inherent skills as a draftsman and his ambition to paint. In many of his lithographs, he used monochromatic swaps of the greasy pencil just as effectively as if he held an entire color palette in his hand. One can say that lithography and Daumier found each other in Paris. This means of printing had only recently become indispensable to Parisians around 1828, when the Department of the Seine itself contained 23 shops, up from a single novelty venture in 1815.⁴ In 1798, German playwright Aloys Senefelder discovered lithography as a cheap and quick alternative to print his scripts. Lithography soon entered the studios of important artists like Gros, Guerin, Ingres, Delacroix, Gericault and Goya, as well as the print shops of the cheap press.⁵ The potential duality of lithography, as a fine art and an art for the masses, paralleled Daumier’s wish to be a fine artist able to reach the people.

During those years of struggle for a free press, the “people” were of great concern to many members of French society. The various political factions each had their own publications with which to rally their particular causes. Consequently, the success of revolts relied on the people’s support. There were three groups with Legitimists (Monarchists, Orleanists), who favored the Republicans, who believed in a constitutional monarchy, and the government. An ardent fighter for journalistic freedom, Philipon was a fierce Republican who took advantage of his newspaper’s position by simultaneously running papers and publishing critical material against the ambivalent King Louis-Philippe. This provided Philipon with a valuable ally in the press. Philipon had little political confidence in Louis-Philippe, whom the French had forced into abdication in order to consolidate his stranglehold on the press. Daumier had a personal interest in this, as he was sympathetic to his cause. Daumier was also motivated to be known not only as a caricaturist but as a forceful and influential artist. Daumier attentively heeded the advice of Philipon and followed in his footsteps. After taking Philipon’s place as Gargantua, Daumier quickly landed at La Charivari, which means rough music or noisy music, granted Daumier a place on the paper immediately. Philipon’s timing could not have been better, as the tyrannical King subjected his other paper, La Silhouette, to illegal seizures. The King eventually forced Philipon down after the passing of the September laws. The editors of La Charivari encouraged Napoléon’s new regime to continue the daumier’s series, Robert Macaire, which added materialism; Ancient History, which ridiculed the prudential administration; and the artist Daumier to continue the famous series and Daumier made major contributions to Daumier’s series.
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The artistic world’s fascination with neoclassicism; and Bluestockings, which poked fun at the hard-core feminists of Daumier’s day, made distinct social comments on French urban life drawn from Daumier’s Realist perspective. Influenced by his circle of Realist friends, which included artists Millet and Courbet and writers Flaubert, Zola, and Baudelaire, Daumier focused the subject matter of his art on the present time and the world around him.

After La Caricature had shut down, Daumier completed a catalog of French types that included “The Errand Boy,” “The Tailor,” “The Public Stenographer,” “The Banker,” “The Man of Leisure,” “The Cook,” “The Restaurateur,” “The Coiffeur,” “The Wig-Maker,” “The Art Student,” and “The Butcher.” This exercise served as fodder for his first great series, Robert Macaire, which lasted from August of 1836 until November 1838. The actor Lemaitre created Robert Macaire from a floundering play. Philipon latched onto the character, developed his numerous schemes, and trusted Daumier to give them life through illustration. Macaire became a national symbol for an entire age that at once embodied the ideals of the money-hungry French society of the mid-1800s, but also ridiculed these same thoughts. Daumier followed the example of Lemaitre by giving Macaire an ambiguous physical description in order to avoid any trouble from the government censors. The actor drew his inspiration directly from a wandering vagrant he randomly encountered in the street one day:

His hair was dressed in windblown style under a shapeless top hat. One eye was covered with a black patch. A voluminous red flannel scarf covered his face from the nose down and hid the place where a shirt ought to have been but was not. From a pocket of what had once been a green coat with silver buttons cascaded a bundle of many-colored rags, the remains of a splendid scarf. The right hand with which he gestured magnificently wore the fragments of a white glove; in his left hand he grasped a huge cane. His red military trousers clung to his shanks, and showed dingy white stockings above a pair of woman’s satin shoes.

In appearance, the shabby Macaire is the complete opposite of the uppity bourgeois. However, his outfit contains the remnants of their social trappings: a top hat, a splendid scarf, white gloves, a cane, a military uniform, and satin shoes. This manner of dressing disgraces not only the bourgeois’ textile regalia, but also their way of life. Daumier’s rendered another likeness that was difficult for the censors to prove, but still obvious to the French people — the beaten cotton umbrella that Macaire depended on for references to Louis-Philippe.

The heists, which the natural-born skunk accomplishes in every episode of Daumier’s series, were a form of materialism that was prominent in France. The actor dressed in any guise in order to take advantage of whom were evident in Daumier’s earlier work. Macaire is insatiable: no matter how much ill-gotten money he has, they are never enough. Even when he is being hunted, the potential of having more which thins out his wealth. Macaire lies in the discrepancy between his appearance and his absurd fantasy of wealth. This is Daumier’s bourgeois.

The next great Daumier series dealt with Neoclassicism in both the Parisian society and fine art. The author Baudelaire, a friend of Daumier through the natural-born skunk, had published a series of fifty works published in La Charivari, which highlighted the failings of classic sculpture: “all that was completely lacking to the statue globally regarded as the premiere exaltation of all the white marble gods.” The author went for the jugular when they blasted the Apollo of Belvedere with the following words: “The statue illustrated the most monstrous form of materialism that ever existed — the materialism of the artist who has no respect for the public’s willingness to give themselves characters of any kind. Even more poignantly, Daumier ridiculed the reluctance of contemporary artists to portray anti-traditional roles of beauty and heroism, Daumier’s "unrefined rustic slobs."" In his Menelaus the Conquerer, he maintained the circumstances of the story of Troy. The barracks contained the burning city submerged under smoke. Intense white flames surround the people near it. Numerous bodies lay in heaps faceless. Knowledgeable of antiquity from his study with Lenoir, Daumier blasphemed the...
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The shabby Macaire is the complete opposite of whatever, his outfit contains the remnants of their regalia, but also their way of life. Daumier’s that was difficult for the censors to prove, but

still obvious to the French people — the King. The rumpled top hat and the beaten cotton umbrella that Macaire dons are Daumier’s direct references to Louis-Philippe.

The heists, which the natural-born swindler Macaire tried to accomplish in every episode of Daumier’s serial, paralleled the bourgeois materialism that was prominent in France. Macaire does not hesitate to dress in any guise in order to take advantage of numerous victims, some of whom were evident in Daumier’s earlier catalog of French types. Macaire is insatiable: no matter how many swindled possessions or how much ill-gotten money he has, they are never enough. It is the chase, the hunt, the potential of having more which thrills him. The genius of Macaire lies in the discrepancy between his ragged, downtrodden reality and his absurd fantasy of wealth. This is Daumier’s warning to the bourgeois.

The next great Daumier series dealt with the onslaught of Neoclassicism in both the Parisian society and the art world during his lifetime. The author Baudelaire, a friend of Daumier’s, likened the scene to a damaging plague of locusts. La Charivari, Daumier’s employer, highlighted the failings of classic sculpture: “The life, the movement, the intimacy—all that was completely lacking to [the Greeks]. Statuary was impoverished...until at last it came to Apollo Belvedere, the stiffest, the silliest of all the white marble gods.” The writers of La Charivari went for the jugular when they blasted the Apollo Belvedere—the antique statue globally regarded as the premiere example of classical genius.

Daumier shared his editors’ sentiments when he began a series deriding the public’s willingness to give themselves over to a past they had never experienced. Even more poignantly, Daumier aimed his satirical powers at fine art—a field in which he longed to be more highly esteemed. In a series of fifty works published in La Charivari between 1841 and 1843, Daumier ridiculed the reluctance of contemporary artists to depict topics of their own era. Instead of portraying antique characters in their traditional roles of beauty and heroism, Daumier reinvented them as “unrefined rustic slobs.”

In Menelaus the Conquerer, he maintained the traditional circumstances of the story of Troy. The background of the composition contained the burning city submerged under a sea of hanging black smoke. Intense white flames surround the Trojan horse and engulf the people near it. Numerous bodies lay in he mid-ground, nameless and faceless. Knowledgeable of antiquity from his many hours of classical study with Lenoir, Daumier blasphemed the sacred by making a mockery
of the hero and his rescued love. Menelaus does not demonstrate the characteristically heroic muscular stature of the nude rescuer, but shows a saggy frame with a tremendous paunch. Likewise, his sword is not raised in victory, but hangs listlessly from his limp wrist. Only his neck and toe muscles strain not to see or to touch the dead laying before him. The supposedly beautiful Helen is now a frumpy old woman, with appendages as thick and stubby as those of Menelaus. As an added insult, she thumbs her nose at the man who saved her. Many of Daumier’s classical reincarnations display women as possessing masculine behavior, thus perverting the ancient story. This was a powerful statement urging people to live in their own time, not in someone else’s history.

Bluestocking was Daumier’s series of forty prints that featured “dangerous” women, which ran in La Charivari from January to August of 1844. The name “bluestocking” hails from a mainly female club of 18th century London, sarcastically called the Blue Stocking Society because of the unusual dress of one member, Benjamin Stillingfleet. Some have criticized Daumier because of this series’ seemingly sexist nature. However, the object of these prints was not to ridicule women in general, but to satirize a situation in which women played highly visible roles — the feminist movement of the 1840s.

Feminism swept through many cultures during this time, not only in France, but also in England and the United States. Since the September Laws had limited the range of their political targets, male journalists and satirical artists found a new source of material in the “threat” of these women who demanded that more and more of their human rights be delivered to them. These bluestockings also educated themselves and wanted a place in the job market alongside the learned men. This kind of equality went against everything that the patriarchal society believed about women. As a result, they saw intellectually motivated women as threats to the norm of domestic life: “‘Bad’ wives also usurped male attributes and were shown as posturing, pretentious, willful, neglectful of their household duties and children, adulterous, and even as forcing their men to act as surrogate mothers and ‘househusbands.’” In Daumier’s Bluestockings, no. 7, a young mother engrossed in her writing turns her back to a messy, unkempt house and a child who is drowning due to her lack of attention. One can certainly see that Daumier added to the controversy surrounding the vocal women of his time. Perhaps, as with the Macaire series, the presentation of these women as dangerous threats was meant to satirize the stereotype.

Notes

Menelaus does not demonstrate the muscular stature of the nude rescuer, but shows a flabby paunch. Likewise, his sword is listlessly from his limp wrist. Only his neck shows to see or to touch the dead laying before him. Helen is now a frumpy old woman, with the stubby as those of Menelaus. As an added note, there is no head at the man who saved her. Many of the depictions display women as possessing stocky features. This was a time when women were not seen or to touch the dead laying before them.

Daumier’s series of forty prints that featured a man who saved her. Many of the depictions display women as possessing stocky features. This was a time when women were not seen or to touch the dead laying before them.

As Howard Vincent has said, “Daumier was no camera”; he saw things with his own human eyes. Instead of working from models, he drew upon his phenomenal powers of recall to express his view of the contemporary world. Through this utterly personal method of communication, Daumier invites the viewer to look at his subjects through an aura of humanity. This aura is what binds these three examples of his work.

Notes
8Vincent. His World p. 70.
12Symmons. Daumier p. 70.
14Casteras, S.P. “Femmes d’esprit in Daumier’s Caricatures.”
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Alphabetical Africa's Relation

Language and Meaning

by Asra Syed

Language is not a barrier. Language enables people in all circumstances to cope with a changing world; permits them to engage in activities without unduly antagonizing everyone in their immediate vicinity. I'm not really concerned with that kind of thing. As a writer, I'm principally concerned with meaning. (72)

In this conclusion of his short piece entitled "Alphabetical Africa," Abish asserts almost exactly the opposite of what he expressed in his first novel, Alphabetical Africa. Or as Richard Wurmbrand, the author of Alphabetical Africa, writes: "It means more than mere language and meaning; it means divorcing language from meaning, is in itself..."