



Apr 23rd, 9:00 AM - 4:00 PM

## Changes in Response Rate: Is the Standard Operant Conditioning Unit Really Standard?

Gregory P. Tinkler  
*Illinois Wesleyan University*

Anthony R. Peterson  
*Illinois Wesleyan University*

James D. Dougan, Faculty Advisor  
*Illinois Wesleyan University*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc>

---

Tinkler, Gregory P.; Peterson, Anthony R.; and Dougan, Faculty Advisor, James D., "Changes in Response Rate: Is the Standard Operant Conditioning Unit Really Standard?" (1994). *John Wesley Powell Student Research Conference*. 57.  
<https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc/1994/posters/57>

This is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by Digital Commons @ IWU with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this material in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/ or on the work itself. This material has been accepted for inclusion by faculty at Illinois Wesleyan University. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@iwu.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@iwu.edu).

©Copyright is owned by the author of this document.

CHANGES IN RESPONSE RATE:  
IS THE STANDARD OPERANT CONDITIONING UNIT REALLY STANDARD?

Gregory P. Tinkler, Anthony R. Peterson, and  
Dr. James D. Dougan\*, Department of Psychology, IWU.

Skinner (1938) first described the "standard" operant conditioning unit, or Skinner box. However, there has been controversy regarding whether the unit is actually standard. The present study examined whether different types of "standard" Skinner boxes would produce different results. The subjects were six female Long Evans rats which were food deprived to 80% of their normal body weight. They were tested in two different operant chambers- one was a Gerbrands Corporation model G7410, and the other was a BRS/LVE Inc. model RTC-020. The subjects were randomly assigned to run on four different VI schedules (VI 7.5s, 15s, 30s, and 240s) in blocks of 12 days per schedule. Sessions lasted for ten minutes. The results themselves were largely inconclusive: no significant differences were achieved across the Skinner boxes, but there were inconsistencies in individual performances. Further studies using longer sessions are currently in progress.