



Illinois Wesleyan University
Digital Commons @ IWU

John Wesley Powell Student Research
Conference

2003, 14th Annual JWP Conference

Apr 12th, 1:15 PM - 2:15 PM

Masculine Threat, Self-Esteem, and Homophobic Behaviors in College Men

Julie Longo
Illinois Wesleyan University

John Ernst, Faculty Advisor
Illinois Wesleyan University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc>

Longo, Julie and Ernst, Faculty Advisor, John, "Masculine Threat, Self-Esteem, and Homophobic Behaviors in College Men" (2003). *John Wesley Powell Student Research Conference*. 13.

<https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc/2003/posters2/13>

This Event is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by Digital Commons @ IWU with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this material in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/ or on the work itself. This material has been accepted for inclusion by faculty at Illinois Wesleyan University. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@iwu.edu.

©Copyright is owned by the author of this document.

Poster Presentation P24

**MASCULINE THREAT, SELF-ESTEEM, AND HOMOPHOBIC
BEHAVIORS IN COLLEGE MEN**

Julie Longo and John Ernst*

Department of Psychology, Illinois Wesleyan University

Threatened masculinity may play a role in homophobic responses in college men. This idea is supported conceptually by Claude Steele's work on self-affirmational processes (1975, 1988, & 1993) and Roy Baumeister's work on self-esteem (1992; Baumeister & Tice, 1985; Baumeister, Smart, & Boden, 1996). Empirically, this is consistent with studies showing that masculinity and homophobia are positively correlated. In this study, homophobic or non-homophobic responses to a gay confederate were measured after a masculine threat or no threat manipulation. In the masculine threat condition, participants were given a test that was said to measure masculine knowledge and then received false feedback. The feedback that they received told them that their score was one standard deviation below the mean for college men. The no threat condition involved a general knowledge test in which no feedback of any kind was given. After the manipulation, the gay confederate would come in wearing a gay pride tee shirt and carrying a backpack with gay pride paraphenalia on it. We postulated that the masculine threat participants would have a homophobic response as a way of affirming their masculinity and their self-esteem. This response was measured by a professionalism questionnaire given to all participants. In this questionnaire, the participant was asked to rate the gay confederate ('experimenter') on a number of dimensions. We hypothesized that those who had been in the masculine threat condition would rate the experimenter poorly, thus exhibiting a homophobic response, when compared to the no threat condition. Results will be discussed.