



Apr 9th, 10:00 AM - 11:00 AM

Redistricting and the Public Interest: Developing A Value-Explicit Dialogue

Amy Uden
Illinois Wesleyan University

Jim Simeone, Faculty Advisor
Illinois Wesleyan University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc>



Part of the [Political Science Commons](#)

Uden, Amy and Simeone, Faculty Advisor, Jim, "Redistricting and the Public Interest: Developing A Value-Explicit Dialogue" (2011). *John Wesley Powell Student Research Conference*. 1.

<https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc/2011/oralpres2/1>

This Event is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by Digital Commons @ IWU with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this material in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/ or on the work itself. This material has been accepted for inclusion by faculty at Illinois Wesleyan University. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@iwu.edu.

©Copyright is owned by the author of this document.

Oral Presentation O2.1

**REDISTRICTING AND THE PUBLIC INTEREST: DEVELOPING A
VALUE-EXPLICIT DIALOGUE**

Amy Uden and Jim Simeone*

Political Science Department, Illinois Wesleyan University

Throughout the coming year, legislators will take up the decennial responsibility of drawing new boundaries for legislative districts. Political scientists and practitioners often emphasize the profound impact of redistricting on political careers, process, and policy. However, the ultimate goals of redistricting remain controversial. Redistricting plays a large role in establishing the framework for American politics, and is thus directly linked to representation and the “public interest,” a contested theoretical concept. Using the lens of previous public interest theory, this study examines the historical redistricting dialogue through a content analysis of redistricting-related Supreme Court cases. By applying an analysis of Brian Barry’s ideal- or want-regarding classifications of the public interest, this research finds that methods of legislative redistricting have trended toward want-regarding concepts of the public interest. Bolstered by an analysis of contrasting redistricting policy in the neighboring states of Illinois and Iowa, this paper concludes with a call for a more value-explicit theoretical dialogue surrounding the process of legislative redistricting.