



Apr 14th, 9:00 AM - 10:00 AM

Monte Carlo Simulations of Electron Scattering Experiments

Alan Russian
Illinois Wesleyan University

Bruno deHarak, Faculty Advisor
Illinois Wesleyan University

Mark Liffiton, Faculty Advisor
Illinois Wesleyan University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc>



Part of the [Computer Sciences Commons](#)

Russian, Alan; deHarak, Faculty Advisor, Bruno; and Liffiton, Faculty Advisor, Mark, "Monte Carlo Simulations of Electron Scattering Experiments" (2012). *John Wesley Powell Student Research Conference*. 16.

<https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc/2012/posters/16>

This Event is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by Digital Commons @ IWU with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this material in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/ or on the work itself. This material has been accepted for inclusion by faculty at Illinois Wesleyan University. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@iwu.edu.

©Copyright is owned by the author of this document.

Monte Carlo Simulations of Electron Scattering Experiments

Alan Russian, Dr. deHarak, and Dr. Liffiton
Computer Science and Physics, Illinois Wesleyan University

Research Question

- Is it necessary to account for the following details when modeling electron scattering experiments?
 - True Interaction Volume When Using a Moveable Gas Mount
 - Gaussian Laser Distribution
 - Cosine-Squared Gas Distribution
- Experiments typically have a 10% error. Are these approximation errors greater than 10%?

Methodology

- Used a Monte Carlo Simulation: Performed operations on random points that represented electrons
- Written in Python
 - Used SciPy for Graphing
 - Used PyQt for GUI
 - Over 600 Lines of Code

Program Screenshots




Figure 1. Parameter Window and Energy Spectrum




Figure 2. Approximation error of Steinmetz solid volume using a line/cylinder approximation

Results and Data Analysis

- Percent error of two cylinder interaction volumes when using line/cylinder approximation is displayed in *Figure 2*.
- Adding a Gaussian distribution to the laser alone does not make a difference of over 10%.
- Adding a cosine-squared distribution to the gas jet as well as the Gaussian distribution laser makes over a 10% difference when looking at 2 photons and above.

Conclusion

- A line/cylinder approximation for intersection volume cannot be used when the ratio of cylinders is approximately 1:1.
- One needs to take into account the cosine-squared gas distribution and the Gaussian distribution laser when looking at the absorption of two or more photons.