

Illinois Wesleyan University Digital Commons @ IWU

John Wesley Powell Student Research Conference

2015, 26th Annual JWP Conference

Apr 18th, 11:00 AM - May 18th, 12:00 PM

Multidecompositions of Complete Graphs into a Graph Pair of Order 6

Yizhe Gao Illinois Wesleyan University

Mark Daniel Roberts, Faculty Advisor Illinois Wesleyan University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc

Part of the Mathematics Commons

Gao, Yizhe and Roberts, Faculty Advisor, Mark Daniel, "Multidecompositions of Complete Graphs into a Graph Pair of Order 6" (2015). *John Wesley Powell Student Research Conference*. 1.

https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc/2015/oralpres11/1

This Event is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by Digital Commons @ IWU with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this material in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/ or on the work itself. This material has been accepted for inclusion by faculty at Illinois Wesleyan University. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@iwu.edu.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Copyright is owned by the author of this document.

Multidecomposition of complete graph into graph pair of order 6

Yizhe Gao¹ Dan Roberts² Department of Mathematics Illinois Wesleyan University Bloomington, IL 61701

1 Abstract

We find both necessary condition and sufficient condition for (C_6, \overline{C}_6) multidecomposition of complete graph

2 Introduction

Here are basic definitions of graph theory. Let G and H be graphs. Denote the vertex set of G by V(G) and the edge set of G by E(G). The degree of a graph vertex V of a graph Gis the number of graph edges which touch V. We say that G is connected if there is a path from any vertex in G to any other vertex in G. An isolated vertex is a vertex with degree of zero. The union of two graphs $G = (V_G, E_G)$ and $H = (V_H, E_H)$ is the union of their vertex and edge sets: $G \cup H = (V_G \cup V_H, E_G \cup E_H)$

Let K_n denote the complete graph on n vertices. The complete graph on n vertices, denoted K_n , is the graph on n vertices such that every pair of distinct vertices has exactly one edge between them. Let C_m denote the cycle with m vertices. A cycle on n vertices containing a single cycle through all vertices. Given graph G on n vertices, define \overline{G} as the graph with n vertices such that $E(\overline{G}) = E(K_n)/E(G)$ when considering G as a subgraph of $V_G = V_H$. In other words, \overline{C}_m is the complement of \overline{C}_m to K_n .

Given graphs G and H, a G-decomposition of H is a set $\{G_1, G_2, ..., G_t\}$ of edge-disjoint subgraphs of H such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^t E(G_i) = E(H)$ and $G_i \cong G$ for every $i \in \{1, ..., t\}$. If a G-decomposition of H exists, then we say that G decomposes H or H decomposes into copies of G.

A (G, H) – multidecomposition of K_n is a set $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3, ..., S_t\}$ of edge-disjoint subgraphs of K_n such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^t E(S_i) = E(K_n)$, $S_i \cong G$ or $S_i \cong H$ for every $i \in \{1, ..., t\}$. and at least one copy of G and one copy of H are included in S. Let G and H be edgedisjoint connected spanning subgraphs of K_n . We call (G, H) a graph pair of order n if $E(G) \cup E(H) = E(K_n)$. Multidecompositions of complete graphs into graph pairs of orders 4 and 5 have been studied, and the following results were obtained. Denote the graph consisting of two vertex-disjoint edges by $2K_4$.

Theorem 2.1 (Abueida and Daven [?]). There is a $(C_4, 2K_2)$ -multidecomposition of K_m if and only if $m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ $(m \ge 4, m \ne 5)$

¹ygao@iwu.edu

²drobert1@iwu.edu



Figure 1: The graph pairs of order 5.

Theorem 2.2 (Abueida and Daven [?]). There is a (G_i, H_i) -multidecomposition of K_m for $m \geq 5$ if and only if

- 1. when $i \in \{1, 3, 4\}$, $m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ (except when i = 1 and m = 8);
- 2. when $i = 2, m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{5}$;
- 3. when $i = 5, m \neq 6, 7;$

In this paper, we investigate multidecompositions of complete graphs of order 6. In particular, we find necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_n . Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 2.3. The complete graph K_n admits a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_n if and only if $n \equiv 0, 1, 3, 4 \pmod{6}$ with $n \ge 6$, except $n \in \{7, 9, 10\}$, and possibly except n = 19.

Let G and H be vertex-disjoint graphs. The join of G and H, denoted $G \vee H$, is defined to be the graph with vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{\{u, v\}: u \in V(G), v \in V(H)\}$. We use the shorthand notation $\bigvee_{i=1}^{t} G_i$ to denote $G_1 \vee G_2 \vee \cdots \vee G_t$, and when $G_i \cong G$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ we write $\bigvee_{i=1}^{t} G$. For example, $K_{12} \cong \bigvee_{i=1}^{4} K_3$. Let $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ denote the cycle on n vertices with vertex set $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ and edge set $\{\{a_i, a_{i+1}\} | i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2\} \cup \{\{a_0, a_{n-1}\}\}$. Let [a, b, c; d, e, f] denote the graph with vertex set $\{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ and edge set

$$\{\{a,b\},\{b,c\},\{a,c\},\{d,e\},\{e,f\},\{d,f\},\{a,d\},\{b,e\},\{c,f\}\}.$$

Notice that [a,b,c;d,e,f] is isomorphic to \overline{C}_6 .

Next, we introduce some results on graph decompositions that will help us prove our main result. Sotteau's theorem can be used to decompose bipartite graphs into even length cycles.

Theorem 2.4 (Sotteau [?]). A C_{2k} -decomposition of $K_{m,n}$ exists if and only if $m \geq k$, $n \geq k$, m and n are both even, and 2k divides mn.

Specifically, we use Sotteau's theorem to obtain C_6 -decompositions of complete bipartite graphs.

Corollary 2.5 (Sotteau [?]). A C_6 -decomposition of $K_{m,n}$ exists if and only if $m \ge 3$, $n \ge 3$, m and n are both even, and 6 divides mn.

A celebrated result in the field of graph decompositions is that the necessary conditions for a C_k -decomposition of K_n are also sufficient. Here we state the result only for k = 6.

Theorem 2.6 (Alspach et al. [?]). Let n be a positive integer. A C₆-decomposition of K_n exists if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or 9 (mod 12).

The necessary and sufficient conditions for a \overline{C}_6 -decomposition of K_n are also known, and stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7 (Kang et al. [?]). Let n be a positive integer. A \overline{C}_6 -decomposition of K_n exists if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$.

Now, we define a type of graph labeling which will help to construct certain graph decompositions. A σ -labeling of a graph G on n edges is a one-to-one function $f: V(G) \rightarrow \{0, ..., 2n\}$ such that the set of induced edge labels given by |f(u) - f(v)|, for every $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$, forms the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$. In 1967, Rosa introduced graph labelings as a means to find graph decompositions. The connection between σ -labelings and graph decompositions is apparent in the following theorem, which follows directly from results in [?].

Theorem 2.8. (A.Rosa [?]) Let G be a graph on n edges. If G admits a σ -labeling, then a cyclic G-decomposition of K_{2n+1} exists.

3 Main Result

Lemma 3.1. Let $n \ge 2$ be an integer. If $n \equiv 2$ or 5 (mod 6) then 3 does not divide $\binom{n}{2}$.

Proof. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer.

Case 1: $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$. Let n = 6x + 2 for some positive integer x. Then we have

$$\binom{n}{2} = \frac{(6x+2)(6x+1)}{2} = 18x^2 + 9x + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$

Thus, 3 does not divide $\binom{n}{2}$. Case 2: $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$. Let n = 6x + 2 for some positive integer x. Then we have

$$\binom{n}{2} = \frac{(6x+5)(6x+4)}{2} = 18x^2 + 27x + 10 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}.$$

Thus, 3 does not divide $\binom{n}{2}$.

Lemma 3.2. Necessary conditions for the existence of a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_n are

- 1. $n \geq 6$, and
- 2. $n \equiv 0, 1, 3, 4 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. Let $n \ge 0$ be an integer.

Case 1: $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. Let n = 6x for some positive integer x. Then we have

$$\binom{n}{2} = \frac{(6x)(6x-1)}{2} = 18x^2 - 3x \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Thus, 3 divides $\binom{n}{2}$.

Case 2: $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. Let n = 6x + 1 for some positive integer x. Then we have

$$\binom{n}{2} = \frac{(6x+1)(6x)}{2} = 18x^2 + 3x \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Thus, 3 divides $\binom{n}{2}$.

Case 3: $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$. Let n = 6x + 3 for some positive integer x. Then we have

$$\binom{n}{2} = \frac{(6x+3)(6x+2)}{2} = 18x^2 + 15x + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

Thus, 3 divides $\binom{n}{2}$.

Case 4: $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$. Let n = 6x + 4 for some positive integer x. Then we have

$$\binom{n}{2} = \frac{(6x+4)(6x+3)}{2} = 18x^2 + 21x + 6 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

Thus, 3 divides $\binom{n}{2}$.

Lemma 3.3. No (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_7 exists.

Proof. Note that K_7 has 21 edges. Therefore, for a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_7 to exist, there must exist positive integers x and y such that 21 = 6x + 9y. The only possibility is x = 2 and y = 1. This implies that a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_7 must contain exactly one copy of \overline{C}_6 .

Notice that the degree of every vertex in K_7 is 6. Therefore, for a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_7 to exist, there must exist positive integers p and q such that 6 = 2p + 3q. The only possibilities are $(p,q) \in \{(3,0), (0,2)\}$. Since a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_7 requires at least one copy of \overline{C}_6 , there must exist at least one vertex in K_7 that is contained in 2 copies of \overline{C}_6 . This is a contradiction to the fact that such a multidecomposition must contain exactly one copy of \overline{C}_6 .

Lemma 3.4. No (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_9 exists.

Proof. Note that K_9 has 36 edges. Therefore, for a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_9 to exist, there must exist positive integers x and y such that 36 = 6x + 9y. The only possibility is x = 3 and y = 2. This implies that a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_9 must contain exactly two copies of \overline{C}_6 .

Notice that the degree of every vertex in K_9 is 8. Therefore, for a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_9 to exist, there must exist positive integers p and q such that 8 = 2p + 3q. The only

_	

possibilities are $(p,q) \in \{(4,0), (1,2)\}$. Since a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_9 requires exactly two copies of \overline{C}_6 , so there must exist at least one vertex $a \in V(K_9)$ that is contained in exactly one copy of \overline{C}_6 . However, this contradictions the fact that vertex a must be contained in either 0 or 2 copies of \overline{C}_6 .

Lemma 3.5. No (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_{10} exists.

Proof. Note that K_{10} has 45 edges. Therefore, for a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_9 to exist, there must exist positive integers x and y such that 45 = 6x + 9y. The only possibilities are $(x, y) \in \{(6, 1), (3, 3)\}$. This implies that a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_9 must contain at least one \overline{C}_6 . However, if such a multidecomposition consisting of exactly one copy of \overline{C}_6 existed, then the vertices which are not included in this copy would have odd degrees remaining after the removal of the copy of \overline{C}_6 . Thus, the case where (x, y) = (6, 1) is impossible.

Notice that the degree of every vertex in K_{10} is 9. Therefore, for a (C_6, C_6) -multidecomposition of K_{10} to exist, there must exist positive integers p and q such that 9 = 2p + 3q. The only possibilities are $(p,q) \in \{(3,1), (0,3)\}$.

Assume we have a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition, \mathcal{G} , of K_n . Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{G}$ with $A \cong B \cong C \cong \overline{C}_6$. Let $X = V(A) \cap V(B)$. It must be the case that $|X| \ge 2$ since K_{10} has 10 vertices. It also must be the case that $|X| \le 5$ since K_6 does not contain two copies of \overline{C}_6 .

If $|X| \in \{2,3\}$, then $V(C) \cap (V(A) \triangle V(B)) \neq \emptyset$. This implies that there exists a vertex in $V(K_n)$ that is contained in exactly 1 copy of \overline{C}_6 in \mathcal{G} , which is a contradiction.

Now, we make the observations that any set of either 4 or 5 vertices in C_6 must induce at least 3 or 6 edges, respectively. Furthermore, the vertices in X must necessarily be contained in V(C) due to the degree constraints put in place by the existence of \mathcal{G} . If |X| = 4 or |X| = 5, then X must induce at least 9 or at least 18 edges, respectively. This is a contradiction. Thus, no such \mathcal{G} exists.

Lemma 3.6. If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ with $n \geq 6$, then K_n admits a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition.

Proof. Let n = 6x for some integer $x \ge 1$. Note that $K_{6x} \cong \bigvee_{i=1}^{x} K_{6}$. On each copy of K_{6} place a $(C_{6}, \overline{C}_{6})$ -multidecomposition of K_{6} . The remaining edges form edge-disjoint copies of $K_{6,6}$, which admits a C_{6} -decomposition by Corollary ??. Thus, we obtain the desired $(C_{6}, \overline{C}_{6})$ -multidecomposition of K_{n} .

Lemma 3.7. If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ with $n \geq 13$, then K_n admits a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition except possibly when n = 19.

Proof. First, we need two building blocks for our general constructions. Let $V(K_{13}) = \{1, 2, ..., 19\}$. The following is a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_{13} .

 $\{ [1, 2, 3; 7, 0, 8], [1, 4, 5; 9, 12, 10], [3, 4, 6; 7, 11, 10], [2, 5, 6; 8, 12, 11] \} \\ \cup \{ [13, 1, 6, 8, 5, 11], [13, 2, 3, 5, 9, 4, 10], [13, 3, 5, 9, 4, 10], \\ [13, 7, 12, 3, 9, 6], [13, 8, 10, 2, 7, 5], [13, 9, 11, 1, 8, 4] \}$

The second building block is a \overline{C}_6 -decomposition of K_{19} . It is known (see Theorem ??) that such a decomposition exists. However, here we provide a cyclic \overline{C}_6 -decomposition of K_{19} .



Figure 2: A σ -labeling of \overline{C}_6 .

The labeling provided in Figure ?? is a σ -labeling of \overline{C}_6 . Thus, by Theorem ?? there exists a cyclic \overline{C}_6 -decomposition of K_{19} .

Let n = 6x + 1 for some integer $x \ge 2$.

Case 1: x = 2k for some $k \ge 1$. Notice that $K_{12x+1} \cong K_1 \lor (\bigvee_{i=1}^k K_{12})$. On each of the k copies of K_{13} formed by $K_1 \lor K_{12}$, we place a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_{13} . The remaining edges form edge-disjoint copies of $K_{12,12}$. On each of the copies of $K_{12,12}$ we place a C_6 -decomposition of $K_{12,12}$, which is known to exist by Corollary ??. Thus, we obtain the desired (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_n .

Case 2: x = 2k + 1 for some $k \ge 2$. Notice that $K_{12x+7} \cong K_1 \lor K_6 \lor (\bigvee_{i=1}^k K_{12})$. On the copy of K_{19} formed by $K_1 \lor K_6 \lor K_{12}$, we place a cyclic \overline{C}_6 -decomposition of K_{19} . On the remaining k-1 copies of K_{13} formed by $K_1 \lor K_{12}$, we place a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_{13} . The remaining edges form edge-disjoint copies of either $K_{6,12}$ or $K_{12,12}$. Both of these graphs admit C_6 -decompositions by Corollary ??. Thus, we obtain the desired (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_n .

We note that this proof does not provide a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_{19} . It is not known whether such a multidecomposition exists.

Lemma 3.8. K_{15} admits a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition.

Proof. Let $V(K_{15}) = A \cup B \cup C$ where $A = \{A_i : 0 \le i \le 4\}, B = \{B_i : 0 \le i \le 4\}$, and $C = \{C_i : 0 \le i \le 4\}$. Also let

$$E(K_{15}) = \{\{X_i, X_j\} : X \in \{A, B, C\} \text{ and } 0 \le i < j \le 4\}$$
$$\cup \{\{X_i, Y_j\} : X, Y \in \{A, B, C\}, X \ne Y, \text{ and } 0 \le i \le j \le 4\}.$$

Notice that the edges of K_{15} are of one of two types, either both endpoints are in one of A, B, or C, or the endpoints come from different sets. We wish to define the *difference* of an edge, and this definition depends on which type of edge is under consideration. Consider an edge of the form $e = \{X_i, X_j\}$ where $X \in \{A, B, C\}$, and let $d = \min\{|i-j|, 5-|i-j|\}$. We define the difference of e to be d_X , and we refer to differences of this type as *pure differences*. Now, consider an edge of the form $e = \{X_i, Y_j\}$ where $X \neq Y$, and let d = |j - i|. Assume that (X, Y) is of one of the forms (A, B), (B, C), or (A, C). We define the difference of e to be d_{XY} , and we refer to differences. Thus, K_{15} consists

of the set of pure differences $\{1_X, 2_X : X \in \{A, B, C\}\}$ and the set of mixed differences $\{i_{XY} : 0 \le i \le 4 \text{ and } XY \in \{AB, BC, AC\}\}.$

If G is a subgraph of K_{15} , then applying the permutation $i \mapsto i + 1 \pmod{5}$ to the subscripts of the vertices of G produces a different subgraph G' which is isomorphic to G and has the same differences as G. Therefore, to obtain a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_{15} it suffices to specify a set of edge-disjoint subgraphs in K_{15} which are isomorphic to either C_6 or \overline{C}_6 , contain at least one of each, and partition the set of differences of K_{15} . Since K_{15} has 21 differences, we are lead to produce 2 edge-disjoint copies of C_6 and 1 copy of \overline{C}_6 . The copies are as follows.



Figure 3: A labeling of (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_{15}

The set of subgraphs obtained by applying the permutation $i \mapsto i+1 \pmod{5} 4$ times to each subgraph above will produce the desired (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_{15} . \Box

Lemma 3.9. If $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ with $n \geq 15$, then K_n admits a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition.

Proof. Case 1: x = 2k for some $k \ge 1$.

Notice that $K_{6x+3} \cong K_1 \vee K_{14} \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^{k-1} K_{12})$. On each of the k-1 copies of K_{13} formed by $K_1 \vee K_{12}$, we place a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_{13} . On the copy of K_{15} formed by $K_1 \vee K_{14}$ we place a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_{15} constructed in Lemma ??. The remaining edges form edge-disjoint copies of $K_{12,12}$ and a $K_{12,14}$. Both of these complete bipartite graphs admit C_6 -decompositions by Corollary ??. Thus, we obtain the desired (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_n .

Case 1: x = 2k + 1 for some $k \ge 1$. Notice that $K_{6x+3} \cong K_1 \lor K_8 \lor (\bigvee_{i=1}^k K_{12})$. On each of the k copies of K_{13} formed by $K_1 \lor K_{12}$, we place a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_{13} . By Theorem ??, K_9 admits a C_6 -decomposition. The remaining edges form edge-disjoint copies of $K_{8,12}$ and a $K_{12,12}$. Both of these complete bipartite graphs admit C_6 -decompositions by Corollary ??. Thus, we obtain the desired (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_n .

We note that this proof does not provide a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_{19} . It is not known whether such a multidecomposition exists.

Lemma 3.10. If $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ with $n \geq 16$, then K_n admits a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition.

Proof. First, we need two building blocks for our general constructions. Let $V(K_{10}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$. The following is a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_{10} .

 $\{[1, 2, 10; 6, 5, 7], [2, 3, 4; 8, 9, 10], [3, 7, 8; 5, 9, 4], [2, 6, 9; 7, 4, 1], [3, 6, 10; 1, 8, 5]\}.$

Let n = 6x + 4 where $x \ge 2$ is an integer. Note that $K_{6x+4} \cong K_{10} \lor (\bigvee_{i=1}^{x-1} K_6)$. On the copy of K_{10} place a \overline{C}_6 -decomposition of K_{10} found above. On each copy of K_6 place a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition. The remaining edges form edge-disjoint copies of $K_{6,6}$ and $K_{6,10}$. Both of these complete bipartite graphs admit C_6 -decompositions by Corollary ??. Thus, we obtain the desired (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition of K_n .

4 Conclusion

According to the necessary and sufficient conditions, we are able to conclude that K_n admits a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) -multidecomposition if and only if $n \equiv 0, 1, 3, 4 \pmod{6}$ and $n \geq 6$ except for $n \in \{7, 9, 10\}$, and possibly except for n = 19. We will continue to study if K_{19} allows a (C_6, \overline{C}_6) multidecomposition in the future.

References

- A. Abueida and M. Daven, Multidesigns for Graph-Pairs of Order 4 and 5, Graphs and Combinatorics (2003) 19, 433–447.
- [2] B. Alspach, H. Gavlas, M. Šajna, and H. Verrall, Cycle decompositions IV: Complete directed graphs and fixed length directed cycles, J. Combin. Theory A 103 (2003) 165– 208.
- [3] Q. Kang, H. Zhao, and C. Ma, Graph designs for nine graphs with six vertices and nine edges, Ars Combin. 88 (2008), 379–395.
- [4] A. Rosa, On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph, in: Théorie des graphes, journées internationales d'études, Rome 1966 (Dunod, Paris, 1967) 349–355.
- [5] D. Sotteau, Decomposition of $K_{m,n}$ ($K_{m,n}^*$) into Cycles (Circuits) of Length 2k, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **30** 1981, 75–81.