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Research Question
• What happens when I use physical movement breaks during classroom instruction?
• What strategies help students stay engaged and on task?

Literature Review
• Mulrine, Prater, & Jenkins (2008) describe a brain break as a short break that incorporates physical activity with content.
• A brain break is a short activity that is either related or unrelated to the content (Almarode & Almarode, 2008).
• Braniff (2011) reasons that non-content related brain breaks are more effective in the classroom because they allow students’ brains to take a complete break from instruction.
• When brain breaks are content related and therefore related to the curriculum, teachers are more likely to use them (McMullen, Kulinna, & Cothran, 2014).
• Brain breaks give students a jolt of energy and allow the brain to receive more blood and oxygen. This allows the brain to filter out unnecessary information (Alamarode & Almarode, 2008).

Methodology
• Participants: 23 first graders
• Subject: English Language Arts
• Reason: When students showed behaviors of disengagement
• When: Afternoon, a time when there were no breaks in instruction.
• Class interviews, observation notes, and brain break activities were content-analyzed.

Results and Data Analysis
• Students needed brain breaks due to an abnormal class schedule causing unproductive noise, work avoidance, and off task actions.
• Post brain break students were engaged in their work, did not require redirection, and got back to work quickly and quietly.
• Non-content based breaks worked the best in the classroom because they provided students a complete break from instruction.
• Brain breaks were most efficient when they were related to something the students knew, involved a high-energy dance, could be sung along to, and were not over used.
• High-energy breaks involving singing and dancing were the most effective strategies that supported re-engagement.
• Brain breaks that related to an upcoming holiday provided for active participants.

Conclusion
• Brain breaks work differently within each classroom based upon the different students and their behaviors.
• It is important to set classroom expectations so students know what behaviors are expected of them during brain break time.
• Future research on brain breaks should be continued in the topic of how brain breaks can be used and the effects of breaks at different grade levels.
• Future research should also look closer at the effects of brain breaks on students who do not show behaviors that need a brain break but participate anyways. It should also look at how long a brain break needs to be in order for the break to be effective on students.