



Apr 17th, 2:35 PM - 3:50 PM

Third-Party Intervention in Intrastate Conflict: A Cost Benefit Analysis

Andrew Kapral

Illinois Wesleyan University

Kathleen Montgomery, Faculty Advisor

Illinois Wesleyan University

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc>

Kapral, Andrew and Montgomery, Faculty Advisor, Kathleen, "Third-Party Intervention in Intrastate Conflict: A Cost Benefit Analysis" (2004). *John Wesley Powell Student Research Conference*. 4.
<http://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc/2004/oralpres7/4>

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by The Ames Library, the Andrew W. Mellon Center for Curricular and Faculty Development, the Office of the Provost and the Office of the President. It has been accepted for inclusion in Digital Commons @ IWU by the faculty at Illinois Wesleyan University. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@iwu.edu.

©Copyright is owned by the author of this document.

Oral Presentation O7.4

**THIRD-PARTY INTERVENTION IN INTRASTATE CONFLICT:
A COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS**

Andrew Kapral and Kathleen Montgomery*
Department of Political Science, Illinois Wesleyan University

Given the recent proliferation of intrastate conflict, the role of third-party intervention has become increasingly important to the peace and security of the international system. However, the escalation of violence often attributed to military forms of intervention may have severe costs for both the target of intervention and the state choosing to intervene. Past literature has focused on the effectiveness of such intervention without properly evaluating the reasons why a third-party chooses to commit military resources to such endeavors. This study will examine both the relative capabilities of the actors involved, and the stated reasons for intervention, in an attempt to discover what set of circumstances cause aggressive forms of intervention. Cost-benefit analysis is employed by third parties and is assumed to dictate the way in which intervention takes place. Ultimately, the material interests of the intervener seem to play a significant role in the decision to take aggressive action in a target state.