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Research Question
How can I facilitate the revising and editing stages of Writers’ Workshop for my first grade students?

Literature Review
• Martin (2009) and Matsumura (2002) suggest teacher feedback is a powerful tool for individualizing instruction. Matsumura (2002) suggests that content level feedback is more important than “surface level” corrections.

• Teacher modeling is a crucial component of the revision and editing stages (Anderson, 2008; Portalupi, 1999).

• Peer conferencing can be effective for improving writing, provided that teachers model proper conversational and questioning skills (Routman, 2005).

• Writers’ Workshop is beneficial for bilingual students because it promotes a responsive-collaborative approach, where student dialogue and participation are most important for advancing language and writing skills (Serna, 2009; Gutierrez, 1992; and Roberts, 1994).

Methodology
• 1st grade bilingual classroom with 19 students (12 females, 7 males)
• Field notes and student work samples from Writers’ Workshop sessions

Findings & Conclusions
• Because revising and editing was a new concept for students, teacher modeling helped students understand the writing cycle process. However, students mimicked the modeled writing pieces, writing very similar stories to what I had modeled in front of the class.

• Checklists guided my students through the revising and editing processes. After explicitly teaching each section of the checklists and modeling appropriate peer talk, my students made improvements to their writing, with varying degrees.

• Conferencing with peers was an unfamiliar task for students. They benefited from teacher and student modeling sessions in which they learned how to question their peers or provide positive feedback.

• Although finding time for my students to share their published pieces was difficult, I found it valuable in developing my students’ identities as writers.
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